INTRODUCTION

Advances in cancer management have improved the life expectancy of patients with metastatic disease. Osseous metastases are the third most common site of metastasis after lung and liver with the majority of bony metastases affecting the vertebral column. Some studies estimate that up to 40% of all patients with cancer will have spinal metastases during the course of their disease. As a result of this, an increased number of patients with spinal metastases are being considered for spinal surgery.

Treatment of MSD aims to improve pain, preserve neurological function, prevent pathological fractures and correct any spinal instability to improve quality of life. In this article we aim to give an outline of spinal surgery for spinal metastases and discuss in detail the specific challenges this poses to the anaesthetist.

Pathophysiology of metastatic spinal disease

Approximately 70% of osseous spinal metastases involve the thoracic spine, 20% the lumbar spine and 10% the cervical spine. The most common cancers resulting in osseous metastases are breast, lung, gastrointestinal tract, prostate and myeloma. The major mechanism for metastasis is thought to be via the haematogenous route where direct invasion of blood vessels by the primary tumour results in cancer cells being transported to other parts of the body. It is postulated that retrograde venous spread via the Batson plexus during Valsalva manoeuvres may also play a role. Although rarer, direct invasion of the primary tumour into the spine is also a possibility.

The median survival of patients with spinal metastases is 10 months although this figure is continually improving and there is variation depending upon the primary tumour type. Spinal metastasis is one of the leading causes of morbidity in cancer patients, with complications including hypercalcaemia, pain, spinal instability and pathological fractures. Neurological demise can affect bladder and bowel continence and significantly reduce mobility. These morbidities can result in a significantly reduced quality of life in addition to the limited life expectancy.
Management of metastatic spinal disease

Management options for MSD include non-surgical treatments such as braces, steroids, bisphosphonates, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Surgical approaches range from minimally invasive vertebroplasty or screw fixation to major open debulking and spinal reconstruction. The optimal management of patients with MSD involves a multi-disciplinary approach involving medical and radiation oncologists, radiologists, spinal surgeons and anaesthetists.

Surgery for metastatic spinal deposits has not been shown to improve survival and the intended benefit is to improve quality of life by improving pain, preventing pathological fractures, preserving neurological function and improving spinal stability. When deciding whether to undertake surgery for MSD, the improvement in quality of life must be weighed against the risks and potential morbidity of surgery. Complications from major spinal surgery can be as high as 25%, with the most common being wound infection\(^2\). In patients with a limited life expectancy, any complication from surgery and the extra time needed to overcome these complications can negate any potential benefit.

Various scoring systems can be used to guide whether surgery should be undertaken and if so, the extent of the surgery to undertake\(^2\). The fittest patients with good overall prognosis from their underlying malignancy and a greater potential for spinal instability are considered for the most extensive surgery, whereas patients in whom the overall prognosis is poor and the metastases are extensive are only considered for limited if any surgery\(^2\).

ANAESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS

Patients undergoing surgery for MSD can have multiple organ impairment occurring through the sequelae of serial cancer progression: this includes metastases, metabolic derangements and immunosuppression due to chemotherapy-radiotherapy. In addition to these patient factors, surgery can be lengthy, extensive, involve special positioning and have the potential for major haemorrhage. Consequently, providing anaesthesia for metastatic spinal surgery has special considerations which are discussed below.

Airway management

Airway management may be difficult, particularly with cervical spine instability secondary to metastases. Radiation-induced fibrosis may make airway manipulation more difficult. Reinforced endotracheal tubes (ETT) are commonly used and in our practice, we routinely perform asleep fibreoptic intubations to minimise neck movement and confirm ETT position to avoid bronchial intubation when in prone position. Tape should be considered over ties when securing the ETT, as ties may impair the venous drainage of the head and neck potentially worsening facial and airway oedema.

Airway oedema can be a significant problem on extubation. Factors that make airway oedema more likely are cervical spine surgery and prone surgery lasting greater than 5 hours\(^3\).

Prone positioning

Prolonged prone positioning is often required for MSD. Prone positioning can lead to important complications which are outlined in table 1.

| Haemodynamic instability | • Impaired venous return through the inferior vena cava as a result of compression of the abdomen  
|                         | • Engorgement of paravertebral and epidural veins which may increase blood loss  
|                         | • Difficult access to lines and difficult resuscitations  
|                         | • Keeping the abdomen free will minimise the haemodynamic instability |
| Ophthalmic complications | • Visual loss from prolonged prone positioning has an incidence of 0.02 – 0.2%\(^4\)  
|                         | • Ischaemic retinopathy from hypoperfusion and direct pressure on the globe is the most common cause  
|                         | • Regular pressure checks and maintenance of perfusion reduce the risk\(^3,4\) |
| Nerve injuries          | • Direct pressure on or stretching of nerves can result in injury  
|                         | • Nerves commonly affected are the brachial plexus, ulnar, femoral and common peroneal nerves  
|                         | • Avoiding nerve stretching whilst positioning and pressure point padding reduces the risk of damage |
| Airway and facial oedema| • Prone positioning with the head below the level of the heart results in decreased venous return from the head and neck  
|                         | • Airway and facial oedema are common with prolonged surgery  
|                         | • Corticosteroids can be given to improve airway swelling  
|                         | • Difficult access to airway in emergency situations |

Table 1 Complications of prolonged prone positioning\(^1,4\)

Risk of massive haemorrhage
MSDS has a significant risk of massive haemorrhage. A recent meta-analysis found an average estimate of 2180 ml of blood loss during MSDS. The extent of haemorrhage is dependent on many factors including the extent of surgery, the vascularity of the tumours, the site and size of the tumours and prone positioning.

Preoperative selective angiography is useful in identifying the vascularity of the tumours and selective embolisation is important in reducing the perioperative blood loss. As the mechanism for metastasis is via haematogenous spread, metastases commonly occur in areas with good vascular supply, increasing the propensity for haemorrhage.

In addition to embolisation, other strategies for control of haemorrhage include preoperative optimisation of haemoglobin, acute normovolaemic haemodilution, intraoperative cell salvage, tranexamic acid and topical haemostatic agents (figure 1). In a recent meta-analysis, tranexamic acid has been found to reduce bleeding without increasing the risk of VTE during spinal surgery and this is thought to be the case even in the setting of malignancy.

Despite haemostatic measures, significant blood loss commonly occurs during MSDS. Studies have shown an increased risk of postoperative infection and progression of tumour growth associated with allogeneic blood transfusion, thought to be secondary to the associated immunosuppression. As a result, there has been significant interest in the use of cell salvage in MSDS. The use of cell salvage has been variable and the subject of significant debate due to the concerns of transfusing salvaged malignant cells and the possibility of contributing to metastatic spread. A recent systematic review found numerous studies describing the use of cell salvage with a leucodepletion filter as a safe technique in oncological surgeries. We have not found studies specifically pertaining to MSDS however we postulate that similar concepts will likely apply.

COMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH METASTATIC MALIGNANCY

Venous Thromboembolism (VTE)
20% of all newly diagnosed VTEs are in patients with malignancy and carry a significant associated mortality. Prophylactic VTE measures include low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), compression stockings and automatic calf compression devices. Prophylactic LMWH must not be given in the 12 hours preceding surgery and should be withheld until at least 6 hours following surgery when the risk of VTE versus post-operative bleeding must be weighed up. In contrast, compression stockings and calf compression devices can continue throughout the intraoperative and perioperative period. In patients who have had a VTE within 1 month of planned surgery, perioperative removable IVC filters are recommended. After surgery, IVC filters should be removed and traditional anticoagulation restarted as soon as possible.

Hypercalcaemia
All patients with metastatic malignancy should have calcium levels checked preoperatively. Hypercalcaemia should be corrected prior to surgery with infusions of 0.9% NaCl, furosemide and bisphosphonates. If left untreated, hypercalcaemia can cause shortened PR interval, shortened QTc, prolonged QRS and cardiac conduction abnormalities (Figure 2). It can also cause muscle weakness necessitating lower doses of non-depolarising muscle relaxants.

Figure 2.
ECG changes in severe hypercalcaemia: Broadened QRS, Short QT interval and J waves- best seen in lead V1 (Taken with permission from ECG library www.lifeinthefastlane.com)
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Figure 1. Blood Conservation Strategies
Chemotherapy

Chemotherapy can affect any organ system and in-depth discussion is beyond the scope of this article. However, knowledge of chemotherapy agents and the potential adverse effects is an important perioperative consideration. See Table 2 for potential chemotherapy toxicities which could affect perioperative management. It is important to note that most chemotherapy agents can lead to the mentioned toxicities, only the common offenders are listed below.

### Table 2 Important perioperative considerations of complications associated with chemotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Potential chemotherapy toxicity</th>
<th>Chemotherapy agents most commonly causing toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cardiovascular| • Arrhythmias  
• QT prolongation  
• Myocardial ischaemia  
• Congestive Heart failure and Left Ventricular failure (2%) | • Anthracyclines e.g Doxorubicin, Idarubicin                                            |
| Respiratory (<10%) | • Alveolitis  
• Interstitial Pneumonitis  
• Pleural effusions  
• Fibrosis  
• Bronchiolitis obliterans and organising pneumonitis (BOOP) | • Bleomycin  
• Antimitotics (taxanes and vinca alkaloids) e.g Paclitaxel, Vinblastine             |
| Haematological| • Anaemia  
• Thrombocytopenia  
• Neutropenia  
• Immunosuppression  
• Venous thromboembolism | • Methotrexate  
• Mitomycin C  
• Cyclophosphamide,  
• Dacarbazine  
• Trastuzumab  
• Mitoxantrone. |
| Renal         | • Acute Kidney Injury  
• Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic purpura  
• Haemolytic uraemic syndrome | • Platsins eg Cisplatin  
• Gemcitabine |
| Neurological  | • Peripheral neuropathy  
• Autonomic neuropathy | • Alkylating agents – Platsins eg. cisplatin  
• Anti mitotics – Taxanes and Vinca alkaloids e.g Vincristine, Paclitaxel |
| Others        | • Skin fragility  
• Poor venous access |                                                                                       |

**Minimising further metastatic spread**

Impaired immunity has been shown to result in faster tumour growth and metastatic spread. It is becoming clearer that perioperative immunosuppression from the surgical stress response is an important factor in disease progression and anaesthesia may play a part in modulating perioperative immunosuppression. The relationship between anaesthesia, perioperative immunosuppression and cancer progression is the focus of much research at present but there remain many unanswered questions.

Data from retrospective studies suggest regional anaesthesia is associated with reduced disease progression rates when compared with general anaesthesia. This effect is postulated to be due to regional techniques not impairing cellular immunity, particularly the function of Natural Killer (NK) cells that normally act to protect against metastatic dissemination. Regional techniques also reduce opiate requirements and opiates are known to impair NK cells. However, well-conducted randomised controlled trials are lacking to prove these findings. Currently there is little evidence that induction agents used in standard doses are potential culprits for disease progression. In-vitro and in-vivo animal studies on volatile agents have also produced mixed results.

Opiates have long been known to impair NK cell function but in-vitro and animal studies have yielded mixed results. A retrospective cohort study, in patients with breast cancer, undergoing mastectomy and axillary clearance, compared general anaesthesia with morphine PCA to general anaesthesia with a paravertebral block catheter with a paravertebral infusion. This small study found improved survival with regional techniques. From this, a causal relationship was hypothesised that morphine-based analgesia may be detrimental to survival and a randomised controlled trial is currently underway to investigate this hypothesis.

Animal and human studies have shown a benefit of NSAIDs in the prevention and spread of cancer. A retrospective study of patients undergoing mastectomy for breast cancer showed a lower rate of recurrence with ketorolac administration. However, randomised controlled trials are still lacking.
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In summary it is clear that impaired immunity in the perioperative period plays a role in disease progression in metastatic cancer. It is less clear how anaesthetic techniques modulate perioperative immunity and disease progression.

**PAIN MANAGEMENT**

Good quality effective perioperative analgesia is always important for any surgery. Extensive surgical wounds on a background of chronic cancer pain can make perioperative analgesia in MSDS challenging. Effective perioperative analgesia in MSDS can reduce immobility and hence reduce the risk of respiratory infections and venous thromboembolism. Good quality perioperative analgesia may also reduce chronic post-surgical pain and as the intended benefit of MSDS is to improve quality of life, this is an important consideration.

Preoperatively patients are often on complex analgesic regimes for chronic cancer pain. These regimes often include high dose opioids. Patients’ narcotics and analgesics should be continued perioperatively unless contraindicated. Patient controlled opioid analgesia is a valuable option for postoperative pain. However decreasing opioid consumption using a multimodal approach is theoretically important as previously discussed.

Perioperative NSAIDs, gabapentinoids, paracetamol, regional blockade and ketamine can all be used. Bleeding risk and renal impairment need to be evaluated before NSAID administration. Perioperative gabapentinoids have been shown to reduce early postoperative pain, opioid consumption and chronic post-surgical pain. Regional blockade including neuraxial techniques, can be considered but the evidence is currently lacking. A recent systematic review of analgesia following major spinal surgery found no benefit for most regional anaesthetic techniques. Trials using ketamine after major spinal surgery have demonstrated decreased overall opioid consumption. In conclusion, analgesic regimes need to be tailored to the patient but should include a multimodal approach as detailed here to achieve good quality pain relief whilst at the same time minimising opioid consumption.

**CONCLUSION**

We have presented the main anaesthetic considerations when undertaking surgery for spinal metastases. As detailed in this article, MSDS can be complex and confer significant perioperative risk. Multidisciplinary approach can help weigh intended benefits versus potential complications of surgical interventions.
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